

NENA ICE 9 Event - Participation - Anonymous Survey - 2021

iCERT Members / Industry Professionals:

Several companies, both iCERT Members and others, have recently decided not to participate in this week's NENA ICE 9 Interoperability Collaboration event (https://www.nena.org/page/NG911_ICE9).

iCERT supports conformance testing as important to public safety's NG9-1-1 goals, and the ICE program and conformance testing, while different, can be complementary. iCERT would like to better understand if additional adjustments to the form and/or process of such interoperability events may be needed. That's why we're asking for your CONFIDENTIAL / ANONYMOUS feedback. ID information is voluntary and no individual identification will be shared.

Please respond to this quick 5-minute survey to help the public safety technology industry move forward. We'll share anonymously the results. Only one response per company.

Please respond by 5:00pm EST Friday, February 26, 2021. Thanks for your assistance. Stay Safe.

* Required

1. NENA ICE 2021 Collaboration Event - Participation - Status (please choose only 1 response)? *

Mark only one oval.

- We did not sign up for the NENA 2021 ICE 9 Event.
- We did sign up for the NENA 2021 ICE 9 Event, but cancelled our participation before it began.
- We will participate / have participated in the NENA 2021 ICE 9 Event.
- Other (provide information below)

2. If you responded "Other" above, please explain, below.

3. On the assumption that a Conformance [lab self-test to a standard] Testing Program existed that permitted you to publicly announce conformance results, would you be more or less likely to participate in an ICE Event?

Mark only one oval.

- More likely to participate.
- Less likely to participate.
- Other: _____

4. If you responded "Other" above, please explain, below.

The next four (4) questions are only for those who intended to or did participate in ICE 9. Others may skip ahead.

5. Were you asked / were you able to provide input for the plan of the ICE 9 Event?

Mark only one oval.

Yes

No

Other: _____

6. If you responded "Other" above, please explain, below.

7. Did the request for input allow for sufficient time to adequately respond / provide input for the plan of the ICE 9 Event?

Mark only one oval.

Yes

No

Other: _____

8. If you responded "Other" above, please explain, below.

9. How would you generally categorize the objectives of the ICE 9 Event?

Mark only one oval.

- The objectives were below our expectations for the event.
- The objectives were in excess of what could be accomplished through such an event.
- There is an appropriate match between the event and its objectives.
- Other: _____

10. If you responded "Other" above, please explain, below.

11. (All Respondents) How would you rate the frequency of ICE events?

Mark only one oval.

- ICE events are too frequent.
- ICE events should be more frequent.
- ICE event frequency is about right.

12. How frequently should ICE events occur?

13. Were you aware that iCERT has two (2) voting Members on the NENA ICE Steering Committee?

Mark only one oval.

Yes

No

Please note that the remaining two questions are mutually exclusive - if you NEVER intended to participate in NENA ICE 9, please answer the first question; if you intended to participate, but later cancelled, please answer the second question. Thank you.

14. If your company did NOT sign up INITIALLY to participate in the NENA 2021 ICE 9 Event, please note the reason(s) why (please check all that apply):

Check all that apply.

- Internal company scheduling conflict or resources would not be available.
- Budget - did not have funding for the event this year.
- Did not believe the event was cost effective / good value.
- Event was not appropriate fit for our company's technology / services.
- Technical concerns with the events goals or plans (did not believe it would produce valuable results).
- Did not want to attend a "virtual only" event.
- Not interested in furthering interoperability at this time.
- Other reason(s) - noted below

15. If you responded "Other" above, please explain, below.

16. If your company DID sign up INITIALLY to participate in the NENA 2021 ICE 9 Event but later withdrew, please note the reason(s) why (please check all that apply):

Check all that apply.

- Unanticipated internal company scheduling conflict arose or resources became unavailable.
- Budget - funding change required withdrawal.
- Upon further information / investigation, did not believe the event was cost effective / good value.
- Upon further information / investigation, event was not appropriate fit for our company's technology / services.
- Upon further information / investigation, technical concerns with the events goals or plans (did not believe it would produce valuable results).
- Not interested in furthering interoperability at this time.
- Other reason(s) - noted below

17. If you responded "Other" above, please explain, below.

18. [iCERT Members only please respond] ICE provides a "safe harbor" for testing implementation to standards. Do iCERT members see a value in this?

19. [iCERT Members only please respond] ICE provides an opportunity to do interoperability testing consistent with the definition in the iCERT white paper. Conformance testing is a lab exercise that tests against an agreed-to test bed. When would iCERT members want to test interoperability v conformance testing?

20. Voluntary - Company Name (to avoid duplicate answers).

21. If you did participate in the NENA ICE 9 Event and would like to provide anonymous feedback, please do so below.

22. Thanks for your responses. Please add any additional information below.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms